

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/501,248	07/07/2004	Ina Lemanowicz	5095-70PUS	8245	
27799	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER		
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE			NGUYEN, DINH Q		
SUITE 1210	/ENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10176		3752		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/501,248	LEMANOWICZ ET AL.	LEMANOWICZ ET AL.			
Office Action St	ımmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	_	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	S COMMUNICATION. Ider the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 Idea of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reple, the maximum statutory period ed period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI t, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on 07 J	uly 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	· · ·	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim(5) □ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rej 7) □ Claim(s) is/are c 8) □ Claim(s) are sub	s) is/are withdra illowed. ected. bjected to.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is obje	ected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
, ,	•	drawing(s) be held in abeya					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	•	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1. d Office Action or form PTO-15	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) [1. □ Certified copies of the certified copies	None of: of the priority document of the priority document rtified copies of the prio the International Burea	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stag	j e			
Attachment(s)		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-82) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr			Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/7/04.			Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))			

Application/Control Number: 10/501,248 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: in line 17, "(see 2a)" is not shown in the drawing. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in page 3, line 12, "nozzle 12" should read --nozzle 1--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,248 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cornelius.

The statement of intended use carries no patentable weight.

Cornelius discloses a nozzle comprising a slit shaped pour-out opening 14 with a length that is several times greater than its width provided in a base area 10 of the nozzle, wherein the nozzle has a cross section that widens in the direction of a mouth area 12 from a round inlet cross section 11 to a mouth cross section, the mouth cross section having one semiaxis that is smaller than a semiaxis of the round inlet cross section 11, and another greater semiaxis extending perpendicular thereto that is greater than the semiaxis of the round inlet cross section and wherein the base area has a shape corresponding to that of a body of revolution of an ellipse or of an oval mouth cross section around the greater semiaxis, and the slit-shaped outlet opening extends in a direction of the greater semiaxis (see figures 1-3).

There are no positive recitations of the immersion nozzle for a metallurgic vessel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasebe et al in view of Robinson.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,248 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

Hasebe et al discloses an immersion nozzle 10 for a metallurgic vessel having a slit shaped pour-out opening 15 with a length that is several times greater than its width provided in a base area of the immersion nozzle, wherein the nozzle has a cross section that widens in the direction of a mouth area from a round inlet cross section 11 to a mouth cross section, the mouth cross section having one semiaxis that is smaller than a semiaxis of the round inlet cross section 11, and another greater semiaxis extending perpendicular thereto that is greater than the semiaxis of the round inlet cross section. Hasebe et al does not discloses the nozzle base area with an oval mouth cross section. However, Robinson discloses a nozzle with slit shaped pour-out opening 11, a round inlet cross section 9, and a nozzle base area with an oval mouth cross section 8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Hasebe et al with a nozzle base area with an oval mouth cross section as suggested by Robinson. Doing so would provide an effective discharging nozzle (see Robinson's page 1, lines 10-19).

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to show the art with respect to a nozzle: Gilsenan, Heaslip et al, and Sahai et al.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn